Index

A

Academic variables, 19, 36–37
Aitken, N., 98
Ajzen, I., 24–25, 29, 32
American College Testing Program, research by, 60, 96–97
American Council on Education, research by, 60, 96–97
Analysis of variance procedure (ANOVA), 65
Anderson, K., 97
Astin, A. W., 51–52, 67, 93, 96
Attitudinal and outcome variables, 24, 27–30
Attrition: defining of, 89; theoretical models of, 89–90
Attrition models, 94; atheoretical or descriptive types, 18–19; early, 20–21; industrially based, 24; of intentions influencing behavior, 24–25, 29; of longitudinal process, 20–25; of person-role fit, 19–20; of prematriculation characteristics, 19; of student-faculty informal contact, 23–24; synthetic, literature based, 21–23, 93–94
Attrition studies: data-analysis design of, 62–69; data-collection design of, 55–56, 69–70; multi-institutional, 97–98; post hoc type, 56–58, 63; recommendations for, 30–32; reviews of, 1, 93–94; single-institutional, 98–99. *See also* Retention/attrition studies
"Autopsy" design, 56–58, 63

B

Background variables, 21–23, 25–27, 29, 90
Baldridge, J. V., 100
Baumgart, N., 98
Beal, P. E., 1–2, 19, 28, 32, 36, 42, 44–46, 52, 73–88, 96–97
Bean, J. P., 1, 17–33, 46, 65, 68–70, 75, 87, 89, 94, 96, 98
Benson, P. H., 41, 52
Bentler, P. M., 24, 28, 32
Biddle, B. J., 19, 32
Blanchfield, W. C., 44, 52
Borgatta, E. F., 70
Borup, J. H., 93
Boshier, R., 7, 14
Bower, C., 58, 70, 97
Brue, E. J., 41, 52–53, 94

C

California, University of at Los Angeles, 60
California community colleges study, 44
Campbell, D. T., 57, 70, 81–82, 87
Chapman, D. W., 2, 93–100
Churchill, W., 98
Computer analysis packages, 65–68
Cook, T., 82, 87
Cooley, W. W., 66, 70
Cooperative Institutional Research and Assessment Program, 60, 100
Cope, R., 1–2, 36, 52, 55, 70, 94
Council for the Advancement of Small Colleges, 42, 52
Creedon, C. F., 36, 38, 41, 53, 55, 58, 70, 94
Cressell, J. W., 96, 98
Cross-sectional study designs, 58–60, 63

D

Delworth, U., 52
Demitroff, J. F., 47, 52
Demographic variables, 19, 27, 36
Demos, G. D., 47, 52
Discriminant-function analysis, 66–68
Dressel, P., 99
Dropout, 3–4; group- and time-variable character of, 7–8; as individual behavior, 4; and individual goals, 4–5; individual process of, 6–7; institutional choice and action in, 10–11; institutional perspective on, 8–9; inter-institutional variables of, 11–12; self-reports of, 47–49; state and national perspectives on, 12–13; state and national policy limits on, 13–14; and student career transitions, 9–10; typologies of, 42–43. *See also* Attrition, Retention

Duby, P., 98
Duncan, O. D., 69–70
Dunnette, M. D., 32
Durio, H., 98
Durkheim, E., 20–23, 28, 32
Dysinger, W. S., 6, 14

E

Education Testing Service, research by, 97
Environmental variables, 27–30, 47, 90. *See also* Institutional variables
Erwin, T. D., 49, 52
Ewell, P. T., 99
Exxon Educational Foundation, 99

F

Faculty-student informal contact, 23–24, 40–41
Faulkner, W., 38
Feldman, K. A., 46, 52
Fenstemacher, W. P., 57, 70
Fetters, W. B., 98
Financial factors, 19
Fishbein, M., 24–25, 29, 32

G

Gaither, G. H., 49, 53
Grant, G., 3
Green, T., 3

H

Hackman, J., 6, 14
Hall, G., 82, 87
Hannah W., 1–2, 36, 52, 55, 70, 94
Hanson, G. R., 52
Henderson, C., 75, 88, 94, 96–97
Hierarchical regression models, 64–65
Higher Education Research Institute (HERI), 99–100
Holland, J. L., 47, 51–52
Hoyt, D. P., 45–47, 52
Huberty, C. J., 66–67, 70

I

Institutional Integration Scales, 95
Institutional variables, 20–23, 80, 90–91; range and choice of, 39–40; student-faculty contact, 23–24, 40–41; student relations, 46–47; in synthetic attrition model, 26–30; type, selectivity and freshman retention, 45
Iwai, S., 98

J

Jackley, J. P., 94, 97
Johnson, O. B., 41, 52–53, 94
Johnson, R. H., 25–29, 32, 95, 98
Johnstone, J., 98

K

Kegan, D. L., 49, 52
Kellogg Student Outcomes Project, 99
Kerlinger, F. L., 17, 32, 58, 63–65, 68–70
Kester, D., 44, 53
Kildow, H., 98
Kim, J., 63–65, 70
Kohout, F. J., 63–65, 70

L

Lacy, W., 87–88
Land, K. C., 69–70
Leinhardt, G., 82, 88
Lenning, O., 1–2, 19, 28, 32, 35–53, 75, 87–88, 94
Living-Learning Residence (LLR) experiment, 83–86
Locke, E. A., 28, 32
Lohnes, P. R., 66, 70
Longitudinal attrition models, 20–25, 31
Longitudinal attrition studies, 60–63, 90
Lorang, W. G., Jr., 43, 53, 95
Loucks, S., 82, 87

M

McMillan, T., 44, 53
Magarell, J., 74, 88
Merton, R. K., 19, 29, 32
Meyers, E. A., 58, 70, 97
Michael, W. B., 66, 70
Miller, V., 98
Model, 18; generalization of, 23
Mueller, C. W., 24, 32
Multiple regression procedures, 63–64
Multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA), 65–66

Munday, L. A., 41, 52–53, 94
Munro, B. H., 97
Myers, E., 50, 53, 97

N

National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS), research by, 97, 99
Nayman, R., 87
Nettles, M., 99
New York, State University at Albany, 43
Newcomb, T. M., 46, 52
Newlon, L. L., 49, 53
Nie, N. H., 70
Noel, L., 44–45, 52, 74–78, 80, 87, 94, 96–97

O

O'Neill, E., 38

P

Pantages, T. J., 36, 38, 41, 53, 58, 70, 94
Pascarella, E. T., 1–2, 3, 6–7, 14, 20–23, 29, 32, 43, 53, 65, 70, 73–88, 89–91, 94–95, 98–99
Path analysis, 68–69
Patrick, C., 50, 53, 97
Pedhazur, E. J., 63–65, 68–70
Pedrini, B., 99
Pedrini, D., 99
Peng, S. S., 98
Perry, N. C., 66, 70
Person-role fit models, 19–20
Pflaum, S., 87–88
Prematriculation characteristics, 19
Price, J. L., 24, 28, 32

Q

Questionnaires, 43, 95–96

R

Racioppo, V. C., 94
Ramist, L., 1–2, 36–37, 53, 75, 88, 94, 97
Rasher, S., 98
Renter, L., 96
Retention: state and national policy limits on, 13–14; typologies of, 42–43
Retention/attrition studies: construct conciseness in, 44–45; data collection in, 48–51; establishing purpose of, 43–44; institutional types and characteristics in 44–45; measurement factors in, 44–45, 48–51; recommendations on, 51–52; variable selection considerations in, 35–43, 47–48
Retention programs, 73–75, 78; commitment to, 79; evaluation of, 80; follow-up impacts of, 75–76; impacts of, 77; literature on, 75; need for, 78–79; new directions for, 80–81; recommendations on, 86–87; reports on, 99; status of, 76–77; success and failure functions of, 77–78; verifying effectiveness of, 77–78
Rootman, I., 19–20, 24, 32, 94
Rossman, J., 99

S

Sauer, K., 1–2, 19, 28, 32, 36, 42, 44, 46, 52, 75, 88, 94
Smith, N., 3
Spady, W., 19–21, 24–25, 28, 33, 51, 53, 55, 62, 65, 70, 81, 88, 94
Speckart, G., 24, 28, 32
Stanley, J. C., 57, 70, 81–82, 87
Steinbeck, J., 38
Student attrition. *See* Attrition; Dropout
Student-faculty informal contact, 23–24
Student Involvement Questionnaire, 95
Student Outcomes Questionnaire, 95–96
Student Turnover Questionnaire, 96
Student variables: academic, 19, 36–37; background, 21–23, 25–27, 29, 90; demographic, 19, 27, 36; initial aspirations and motivation, 37–38; institutional role, 20; personality and values, 38–39; prematriculation characteristics, 19; relations with institution, 46–47; relationship interactions, 40–41; study habits and attitudes, 37
Syracuse University, 43
System Development Corporation, 10, 14

T

Tatsuoka, M. M., 66–67, 70
Tennessee Higher Education Commission, 99

Terenzini, P. T., 2, 3, 6–7, 14, 43, 53, 55–71, 75, 83, 87–88, 95, 98–99
Theory, 17–18
Thomas, E. J., 19, 32
Thorngate, W., 18, 20, 33
Tilton, B. D., 49, 53
Tinto, V., 1–2, 3–15, 18–25, 28, 33, 47, 51 53, 55, 65, 68, 70, 75, 81, 88–89, 94
Tollefson, A. L., 49, 52

U

U. S. Coast Guard Academy, 19

V

Validity measures, 57, 81–82
Valiga, M. J., 94
Vander Well, A. R., 41, 52–53, 94
Vandusen, W., 50, 53, 97

W

White, C., 87–88
Withdrawing/Nonreturning Student Survey, 96